

IRAM₂ MEMBER CASE STUDY

Financial group for corporate customers,
institutions and private individuals



Challenge

- Inconsistent project-by-project basis
- No standardised process
- Not enough consideration of integrity and availability
- Likelihood calculated based on a 'subjective' assessment



Solution

- Provided guidance as to which key stakeholders should be involved in each phase and how the process should be standardised across projects
- Modular structure enabled maturity of capabilities to evolve at the pace that works best for that environment
- Business impact assessments included confidentiality, integrity and availability



Member recommendations

- Customise instructions and guidance for performing an **IRAM₂** assessment
- Organise workshops for key parts of the methodology – notably Phase B: Business Impact Assessment (involving the business representatives) and Phase D: Vulnerability Assessment (involving internal audit)
- Consider using the vulnerability assessment to determine in-scope threat events and the prioritised threat landscape – **IRAM₂** is a flexible methodology
- Focus on the prioritised information risks – you will not be able to assess and review all risks identified
- Review the **IRAM₂** results annually for all 'High' risk systems



To find out more about how **IRAM₂** can help your organisation please visit the [IRAM₂ Community](#) on **ISF Live** and email iram@securityforum.org if you have any questions.